## Agenda

- 1. Logistic Regression
- 2. Assessing Fit in Logistic Regression

#### Interpretation of coefficients in logistic model

- $\beta_0$ : Shifts the curve side-to-side,  $\beta_1$ : changes the shape
- Play with http://rstudio.smith.edu:3838/log\_app/ to see
- If  $\pi$  is a probability, then  $\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$  is the corresponding odds
- The log of the odds is *linear* 
  - $-\hat{\beta}_1$  is the typical change in log (odds) for each one unit increase
  - The odds of success are multiplied by  $e^{\hat{\beta}_1}$  for each one unit increase
  - These changes are constant

$$odds_X = \frac{\hat{\pi}_X}{1 - \hat{\pi}_X} = e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}$$
$$odds_{X+1} = \frac{\hat{\pi}_{X+1}}{1 - \hat{\pi}_{X+1}} = e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (X+1)}$$
$$\frac{odds_{X+1}}{odds_X} = \frac{e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (X+1)}}{e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}} = e^{\hat{\beta}_1}$$

## Checking conditions

- Conditions:
  - Linearity of the logit (or  $\log(odds)$ )
  - Independence
  - Random
- Constant Variance and Normality are no longer applicable

#### Assessing fit

- Since we don't have sum of squares, we can't use  $R^2$ , ANOVA, or F-tests
- Instead, since we fit the model using MLE, we compute the likelihood:

$$L(success) = \hat{\pi}, \qquad L(failure) = 1 - \hat{\pi}, \qquad L(model) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} L(y_i)$$

- Because these numbers are usually very small, it is more convenient to speak of the loglikelihood  $\log(L)$ , which are always negative
- A larger  $\log(L)$  is closer to zero and therefore a better fit
- Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) for simple logistic regression
- $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 \cdots \beta_k = 0$ , vs.  $H_A: \exists \beta_i \neq 0$
- Test statistic =  $G = -2\log(L_0) (-2\log(L))$
- G follows a  $\chi^2$  distribution with k d.f.
- $2 \times 2$  tables are basically equivalent to logistic regression with binary response and a single binary explanatory variable

# Lab Code

```
cols = trellis.par.get()$superpose.symbol$col
Whickham = Whickham %>%
logm = glm(isAlive ~ age + smoker, data=Whickham, family=binomial)
myplot = xyplot(jitter(isAlive) = age, groups=smoker, data=Whickham, alpha=0.5, pch=19, cex=2, ylab="isAlive")
Whickham = Whickham %>%
Whickham = Whickham %>%
ladd(with(subset(Whickham, smoker="Yes"), panel.xyplot(age, logm.link, col=cols[1], type="l")))
ladd(with(subset(Whickham, smoker=="No"), panel.xyplot(age, logm.link, col=cols[2], type="l")))
likelihood = ifelse(Whickham$isAlive == 1, pi, 1 - pi)
linteract = glm(isAlive age + smoker + age*smoker, data=Whickham, family=binomial)
lquad = glm(isAlive – age + smoker + age=smoker + I(age^2) + I(age^2):smoker, data=Whickham, family=binomial)
```

oddsRatio(two.eay)
# Since the coefficients is negative, we add a negative here to match the 2-way table
exp(-coef(logm))
chicq.test(two.way(1:2,1:2], correct=FALSE)
Whickham = Whickham X>X
 mutate(isAlive = 2 = as.numeric(outcome))
logm = glm(isAlive = age + secker, data=Whickham, family=binomial)
summary(logm)
plotPoints(jitter(isAlive) = age, groups=smoker, data=Whickham, alpha=0.3, pch=19, cer=2,
 ylab="Probability of Being Alive (units)",
 xlab="Mge (years)", main="Whickham Study Outcomes",
 auto.key=TAUS)
fit.outcome = makeFun(logm)
plotFun(fit.outcome(age=x, smoker="Yes") = x, add=TRUE)
Whickham = Whickham X>X
 mutate(fitted = fitted.values(logm)) %>X
 mutate(fitted = fitted.values(logm)) %>X
 mutate(fitted = fitted.values(logm)) %>X
 mutate(fit.alive | fit.alive, data=Whickham, format="count")
sum(dig(tb)) / nrow(Whickham)
whickham = Whickham %>X
 mutate(fit.alive = sample(c(0,1), size=1314, replace=TRUE))
tally("isAlive | fit.alive, data=Whickham)
x = data.frame(a = runif(10000), b = runif(10000))
require(Mmsice)
rcorreens(s%), data=X)